UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CO			
10/517,569	10/11/2006	Alexander J. Pallenberg	600057.438USPC	2653		
	7590 08/05/201 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER				
701 FIFTH AV SUITE 5400	E	AUDET, MAURY A				
SEATTLE, WA	x 98104	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			1654			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
		08/05/2010	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/517,569		PALLENBERG ET AL.			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
		1	MAURY AUDET		1654			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cover si	neet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIGN OF	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COM (a). In no event, however apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	MUNICATION , may a reply be time (6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 Juni	e 2010					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ction is non-final.					
3)		<i>7</i> —		al matters pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
٥/	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
) <u> </u>							
·	Claim(s) 1-04 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	-	ation and/an	lootion voquivons	.m4				
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requireme	ent.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/9/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the dra	awing(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Pa 5) No	erview Summary (per No(s)/Mail Da tice of Informal Pa ner:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/517,569 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, amendments are filing of the RCE are acknowledged.

Election/Restrictions

As noted previously, Applicant's election without traverse of the following 3 species:

- 1) flourophore or photosensitizer (CHLORIN); bound to any agent that function as a
- 2) quenching agent (BETA CAROTENE/CAROTENOID); bound to any agent that can function as a
- 3) targeting moiety (ANTIBODY). in the reply filed on 5/4/09 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103-Vacated based on Argument/Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd-Omission of Essential Elements

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

The Amended Claimed Invention

Independent claim 1, now amended, recites:

1. (Original) A conjugate, comprising: a fluorophore or a photosensitizer, a quenching agent; and a targeting moiety, wherein: the fluorophore or the photosensitizer

Application/Control Number: 10/517,569 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

is linked to the quenching agent and the targeting moiety in such a way that activation of the fluorophore or the photosensitizer is quenched until the targeting moiety is bound to a target, whereupon the quenching agent [moves away from the photosensitizer] —**remains linked** but is displaced from interactin-permissive energy transfer conformation with the photosensitizer—, enabling activation of the photosensitizer upon irradiation with light of a suitable wavelength.

The omitted elements is: **the non-cleavable linker.** [As opposed to a cleavable linker, as taught by the art].

Interview-June 8, 2010

In the June 8, 2010 interview, Applicant's Representative stated that Applicant's found the linker is the element provides the essential advantage of the invention.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An interview was scheduled to discuss the outstanding 35 USC 103 under a single reference to Singh.

Applicant's position is that the reference does not suggest or provide motivation that would have made predictable the present invention. In Singh the PS2 (photosensitizing agent) must be released into solution to be detected with their microfluitic device. Whereas in the present invention, Applicant's PS2 must remain at the targeting site and NOT move into solution to be active.

Applicant's essential finding is binding the targeting site causing displacement of the quenching agent, and then allows the photosensitizing agent to work targeting site.

How Applicant was able to carry this out, was via a linker that allows for quenching to be stericly displaced from the photosensitive WITHOUT being cleaved.

The prior art does link the quenching agent to the targeting agent, but via a CLEAVABLE linker.

So the key element of the present invention is the sterically displaceable linker, that generates the unexpected results. Namely, the conjugate can be injected and be entirely inactive until it reaches the targeting site.

Furthermore, Singh is drawn to release of a photosensitizing agent into solution for detection by a device. They do not use it as a targeting conjugate.

Applicant will be responding to the outstanding rejection, in line with the arguments set forth above in the interview. The Examiner acknowledges the above and will undertake a full review and updated search of the art, once the response is received.

Applicant has only amended the claims to generally say the quenching agent "remains linked". Linked by what? One or more non-cleavable linkers are essential elements of the invention. Absent evidence to the contrary within the description or by affidavit with evidence of what the art knew made up the class of cleavable linkers v. non-cleavable linkers. SUCH THAT, such a non-cleavable linker is not e.g. too bulky to not allow the conjugate to carry out its function.

Without this amendment or evidence, the claims are not distinctly claimed, leaving a gap and indefiniteness as to the essential element of the invention.

Thus, in response hereto, unless such evidence can be provided, it is suggested that Applicant expressly claim the single linker or the Markush group of linkers that he has found work as non-cleavable linkers, rather than the cleavable linkers found in the art.

The non-cleavable linker being the essential element that enables the claimed inventions unexpected results over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 1654

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURY AUDET whose telephone number is (571)272-0960.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MA 7/31/10

/Maury Audet/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654